

Research Degree Examination – Joint Report Candidates for MPhil

| Candidate | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Title of Thesis | | | | | | | | |
| 1 st External Examiner | | | | | | | | |
| (Nam | ne and Ad | <mark>dress)</mark> | | | | | | |
| 2 nd Ex | xternal Ex | <mark>raminer</mark> | | | | | | |
| (Name and Address) | | | | | | | | |
| Date of Oral Examination | | | Independent Chair | | | | | |
| (if required) | | | (if required) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| SECTION A - RECOMMENDATIONS (tick the relevant box to indicate overall recommendation) | | | | | | | | |
| The C | l | be admitted t | | | | | | |
| | (a)(i) | | lidate be admitted immediately to the degree of Master of Philosophy. | | | | | |
| | | | didate be admitted to the degree of Master of Phi | | | | | |
| | (a)(ii) | | of the text made to the satisfaction of the nomin | • | | | | |
| | (4)(11) | - | nin a period of one month of receiving formal notific | ation of the corrections to | | | | |
| | | be made. | | | | | | |
| | | | didate be admitted to the degree of Master of Phi | | | | | |
| | | | revisions of a more substantial nature than in (ii) above, but not involving a major revision | | | | | |
| | (a)(iii) | | peing made to the satisfaction of the nominated* ex | - | | | | |
| | | - | od of up to six months of receiving formal notificat | ion of the revisions to be | | | | |
| | | made. | | | | | | |
| The (| Candidate | | to resubmit for the degree | | | | | |
| | (b)(i) | That the car | didate's thesis be deemed to be of a satisfactor | y standard, but that the | | | | |
| | | candidate be adjudged to have failed to satisfy the examiners in the oral examination and | | | | | | |
| ш | | that the cand | nat the candidate therefore be required to submit within six months either for a second | | | | | |
| | | | oral examination or for a written examination, as the examiners shall determine. | | | | | |
| | (b)(ii) | | lidate be adjudged to have failed to satisfy the exam | | | | | |
| | | candidate be permitted to revise and re-submit the thesis within twelve months for re- | | | | | | |
| | | examination by both examiners without a further oral examination. | | | | | | |
| _ | (b)(iii) | | lidate be adjudged to have failed to satisfy the exa | | | | | |
| | | | permitted to revise and re-submit the thesis within twelve months and be re-examined | | | | | |
| | | orally, by bot | | | | | | |
| The C | 1 | | o have failed to satisfy the examiners | | | | | |
| | (c) | That no degre | ee be awarded and that the candidate be adjudged t | o have failed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ons a(ii), and a(iii), please indicate | | | | | |
| who | will act as | the nominated | External Examiner | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| If corrections are required, are these attached to the joint report form? (see guidance note 3) | | | | | | | | |
| Yes No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| If NO, these should be sent to the Graduate School Administrator (via rssteam@ncl.ac.uk or medpg- | | | | | | | | |
| enquiries@ncl.ac.uk) as soon as possible. | | | | | | | | |

| 1. Examiners should refer to the University Handbook for Examiners of Research Degrees for guidance when examining research degree submissions, for clarification on the assessment criteria and the implications of each recommendation. |
|---|
| (http://www.ncl.ac.uk/students/progress/assets/documents/ExaminersHandbook.pdf) |
| 2. Where the examiners cannot agree upon a recommendation, then each examiner should complete a separate report indicating which recommendation s/he supports. |
| 3. Please note in all cases where a candidate is required to make corrections to a thesis or to revise a thesis, it shall be the responsibility of the examiners to provide details of the corrections and/or revisions required of the thesis. The examiners shall provide a written statement of the nature of the changes they wish to see made to the thesis and enclose with this joint report. The Graduate School Administrator will then provide the candidate with both the joint report and the written statement of corrections/revisions. When forwarding the final report to the candidate, the Graduate School Administrator will make it clear to the candidate that resubmission will not guarantee the award of a qualification. |
| SECTION B – COMMENTS ON THESIS (and Viva if appropriate) |
| 1. Please give a clear written statement of your opinion regarding your assessment of the subject matter in the box below. This should be a sufficiently detailed statement to justify the specific recommendation made in Section A. Please comment on the organisation, structure, presentation, authenticity, content, publishable quality and critical awareness of subject. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Notes for Examiners

| Please answer the following specific questions, (giving a reason if the answer is no); | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Are you satisfied that the thesis is the candidate's own work or, if it was done in collaboration, that his or | | | | | | |
| her share in the research is adequate? | | | | | | |
| YES/NO (if no, please comment belo | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. Is the mode of presentation of the | thesis satisfactory? | | | | | |
| YES/NO (if no, please comment below) | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| 4. Do so the world show that the sone | lidata baatha abilituta ayalyata and agitiad | | | | | |
| | lidate has the ability to evaluate and critical evidence of originality in the application of t | | | | | |
| | | IIIS WOIK! | | | | |
| YES/NO (if no, please comment belo | ow) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5 Do you consider the candidate to s | show a systematic understanding of knowled | Ige that is informed by work | | | | |
| The state of the s | within which the subject matter of the thesi | - | | | | |
| YES/NO (if no, please comment belo | · | 3 14113 : | | | | |
| 123/140 (II IIo, picase comment belo | ,,,,, | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6. Does the candidate show an unde | rstanding and critical appreciation of the res | search techniques, methods | | | | |
| or approaches adopted and applied i | n a field of enquiry? | | | | | |
| YES/NO (if no, please comment belo | ow) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7 Are you satisfied that the cano | didate has the ability to conceive and ir | mnlement a project which | | | | |
| demonstrates an understanding of he | • | inplement a project which | | | | |
| YES/NO (if no, please comment belo | | | | | | |
| , | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 8. Please use the space below if you | wish to make any comments on the candida | te's research training. | | | | |
| , | , | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9. Please use the space below if you | wish to make any general comments regard | ing the research work that | | | | |
| | ogramme that the candidate has followed in | | | | | |
| or resources. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 6: | T | D.L. | | | | |
| Signature of External Examiner: | | Date: | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| Signature of External Examiner: | | Date: | | | | |

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM WITHOUT DELAY TO EITHER: MEDICAL SCIENCES GRADUATE SCHOOL

EMAIL: medpg-enquiries@ncl.ac.uk

ADDRESS: 3RD FLOOR RIDLEY BUILDING 1, NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY, NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE, NE1 7RU, UK

RESEARCH STUDENT SUPPORT TEAM

Email: rssteam@ncl.ac.uk

ADDRESS: LEVEL 2, KING'S GATE BUILDING, NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY, NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE, NE1 7RU,

UK

| Signature of Dean of Postgraduate | Date: |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Studies | |
| Comments: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |