

New Investigator Grants **Je-S guidance for applicants**

Introduction	2
Joint Electronic Submissions (Je-S).....	2
Je-S accounts for applicants.....	2
Before creating your proposal.....	3
Creating your proposal	3
Completing your proposal.....	4
Project details.....	4
Investigators.....	4
UK co-investigators in business, civil society or government bodies.....	4
International co-investigators	5
Objectives	6
Summary.....	6
Academic beneficiaries	6
Staff duties.....	7
Impact summary.....	7
Ethical information	7
Other support.....	8
Related/previous proposals	8
Staff	8
Resources.....	8
Estates and indirect costs	10
Project partners.....	11
Timetable.....	11
Data collection	11
Reviewers (academic and user).....	11
Classifications	11
User involvement.....	11
Attachments.....	12
Case for support (<i>maximum of six sides of A4</i>)	12
Justification of resources (<i>maximum of two sides of A4</i>).....	13
Pathways to Impact (<i>maximum of two sides of A4</i>)	14
Data Management Plan (<i>maximum of three sides of A4</i>)	15
CV (<i>maximum of two sides of A4 per applicant</i>)	15
List of publications.....	16
Final/interim reports (<i>maximum of three sides of A4</i>)	16
Proposal cover letter/letter of support (<i>maximum of one side of A4</i>)	16
Mentor's statement and CV (mandatory)	17
Other attachments	17
Technical appendix.....	17
Proposal classifications	17
Submit your proposal	18

Tracking your proposal	18
Further enquiries	18

Introduction

This is a guidance document created to assist applicants to this call in the completion of their proposal. It is specific to this scheme and should be used in conjunction with the following information:

- **ESRC Research Funding Guide**
www.esrc.ac.uk/RFG
- **ESRC guidance on ‘How to write a good research grant proposal’**
www.esrc.ac.uk/How-to
- **Je-S help text** is available in every page of your Je-S form, simply click on the question mark against any section (or on the word ‘Help’ in the top right hand corner of each page)
- **Je-S helpdesk** (for all Je-S system enquiries)
ieshelp@rcuk.ac.uk or 01793 444164

If you experience difficulties using Je-S or have questions regarding its use, helpdesk staff can be contacted Monday to Friday 9.00-17.00 UK time (excluding bank holidays and other holidays). Out of hours: please leave a voice message.

When reporting problems by email or telephone, please give your name, organisation and user id, the date and time, what part of the form or system you were working on, and the nature of the problem.

- **Scheme-specific guidance**
<http://www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/funding-opportunities/new-investigator-grants/>

For further information email: esrcenquiries@esrc.ac.uk

Important: Where information and guidance issued in this document differs from the general guidance offered in these sources; you should adhere to the guidance in this document.

Joint Electronic Submissions (Je-S)

All proposals under this call must be completed and submitted through the Research Councils’ Joint Electronic Submissions (Je-S). To be able to do this the organisation must be registered for Je-S, and the applicants must hold Je-S accounts.

Proposals can be submitted by UK higher education institutions and other independent UK research organisations which are recognised institutions on Je-S and eligible to apply for Research Council funding. (A list of these organisations is available from the Je-S login page. The organisation will have set up the Je-S submission process and will therefore be available within the Je-S searches.)

Je-S accounts for applicants

All principal and co-investigators must have a Je-S account which has been verified by a third party before they can be found in searches within the Je-S System and added as an applicant.

To get you started on creating an account please refer to the Je-S helptext at <https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/pages/SettingupJeSaccount/SettingupJeSaccount.htm>.

Please ensure that applicants select the 'Account Type: Research Proposal' and the option 'An Applicant on a Standard or Outline Proposal' - see helptext https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/pages/SettingupJeSaccount/SettingupJeSaccount.htm#Account_Type.

ORCID Identifier – Please note that Research Councils now collect ORCID identifiers in the Je-S System to allow us to link and share information across systems more easily. The Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID) provides a free registry to obtain a unique digital identifier for researchers and scholars, which allows them to manage a record of their research activities. More information about creating an ORCID Identifier can be found at; https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/pages/SettingupJeSaccount/SettingupJeSaccount.htm#ORCID_Identifier.

The proposal must be submitted through the Je-S System to ESRC by the institution's nominated contacts. Once the applicant completes and submits the proposal, notification is then sent to their organisation's 'submitter' to action. The 'submitter' is the person in that organisation authorised to approve the proposal and do the final stage of submission.

The applicant will receive an email confirming that the proposal has been submitted to the Submitter Pool - this means the proposal is still with the organisation but is not yet submitted to the Research Council. The final submission process is the responsibility of the host institution, and we cannot accept responsibility for any delay which may occur at this stage. We strongly advise applicants to check that they receive an email confirmation from the Je-S System confirming that the proposal has been submitted to the Research Council.

Before creating your proposal

Research proposals may only be made on Research Councils' Joint Electronic Submission (Je-S) forms. There is no deadline for institutional submission of proposals for New Investigator Grants; proposals will be processed alongside our Research Grants scheme.

Creating your proposal

Log in to Je-S at <https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/JeS2WebLoginSite/Login.aspx>

Documents screen: Select 'New Document'

Add new document screen: Select 'Call search' (highlighted at top of screen). When prompted, type in the call title 'new investigator' and select from the list created. The remaining three selection fields will be automatically populated.

Select the 'Create Document' button.

It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that the proposal is created and submitted against the correct call (and consequently correct scheme). We will not accept proposals for processing that are not submitted using the above call route.

Completing your proposal

The initial Je-S document instructions page will give you general guidance on the navigation layout, specifically icon descriptions.

The left hand column 'Document Menu' lists all the sections associated with this call and clearly identifies which ones are mandatory (red cross, turning to green tick when completed).

The details below are not an exhaustive step-by-step guidance, and we recommended that you refer to the Je-S helptext for additional information.

Please note you may return to edit saved documents at any time.

Project details

- Select organisation and department from drop down lists
- 'Your Reference' should be supplied by your research organisation (consult your Research Office). If your administration office does not have a system for referencing grant proposals, a suitable reference of your own choice will be acceptable. Use "Your reference" to help distinguish easily between proposals in users' Current Documents lists. The reference is intended to be a unique identifier for the proposal, and is unrelated to the reference that the organisation would be asked to provide if a grant were awarded.
- Enter 'Project Title' (maximum limit of 150 characters)
- For 'Proposal Call', select 'New Investigator'. Your start date should be at least eight months after your submission date to allow time for a decision to be made on your proposal. We aim to announce the majority of decisions within 26 weeks of receiving the proposal. Your grant should be a proposed duration of no more than 60 months.

Investigators

Enter the name of the principal investigator (PI) and any co-investigators (Co-I) if applicable. The principal investigator must be the new investigator and satisfy the eligibility criteria for this call.

Investigators may be from more than one research organisation, but the PI must be from the organisation that will administer the grant. The PI will take intellectual leadership of the project and manage the research; this individual will be the contact person for ESRC correspondence. All named investigators are responsible for ensuring that successful proposals are undertaken and completed in the manner specified.

Please note that it is mandatory that you answer positively to the question 'Post will outlast project?' To clarify, there is an expectation that the PI's post will be in place for the duration of the project.

UK co-investigators in business, civil society or government bodies

Please note that any researcher from an established business, civil society or government body based in the UK will be eligible to be listed as a co-investigator on New Investigator Grants.

The ESRC will fund 100 per cent of justified costs, however, the project costs associated with these types of Co-I contributions must not exceed 30 per cent of the overall cost of the grant (at 100 per cent FEC). Please note that costs cannot be claimed from government bodies. On receipt of the proposal, eligibility of business, civil society or government body will not normally need to be checked if it is reasonably clear that they are appropriate to conduct the work. Where there is doubt, checks will be carried out should a positive funding decision be taken.

Potential UK co-investigators from business, civil society or government bodies should contact the Je-S Helpdesk (jeshelp@rcuk.ac.uk) if their organisation is not selectable as part of the Je-S person account registration process. These organisations will then be added to the Je-S database, which will allow relevant selection as part of the required person account registration process (that co-applicants are obliged to initiate, via the login page).

All project costs relating to UK business or civil society co-investigators must be prefixed as 'UK Co-I's business or civil society costs' and must be entered into the costs section on Je-S as follows:

Co-investigator 'time' allocation must be entered under the standard Co-I section, but the salary rate must be entered as zero. The co-investigator salary costs must then be entered under 'Other Directly Incurred Costs' (please tick the 'Is Exception' box to ensure 100 per cent costs claimed), as should all other claimed staff salaries and related costs associated with the UK Co-I's business or civil society body.

All costs must be specifically justified, and applicants must explain why such costs are required to achieve the aims of the research project. Applicants must also state clearly in the 'Justification for Resources' attachment of the Je-S form which costs in the proposal relate to the UK co-investigator's business, civil society or government body. Please note that UK co-investigator's business or civil society salary costs should only be claimed where clear justification is provided as to why this cost cannot be met by the UK co-investigator's business or civil society organisation.

Host/submitting institutions are reminded that for calls that encourage non-academic Co-I's, a suitable support structure should be in place to assist them in registering for Je-S accounts and contributing to the research case.

For additional detailed guidance on ESRC's UK business, third sector or government body Co-Investigators policy, including costing guidance, please see: www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/inclusion-of-uk-business-third-sector-or-government-body-co-investigators-on-proposals/.

International co-investigators

Please note that any academic researcher (PhD or equivalent) from an established international research organisation of comparable standing to an ESRC-eligible UK research organisation will be eligible to be listed as an international co-investigator under this scheme. International costs must not exceed 30 per cent of the full 100 per cent FEC cost of the grant.

Potential overseas-based co-investigators should contact the Je-S Helpdesk (jeshelp@rcuk.ac.uk) if their organisation is not selectable as part of the Je-S person account registration process. These organisations will then be added to the Je-S database which will allow relevant selection as part of the required person account registration process (that co-applicants are obliged to initiate, via the login page).

All costs associated with the international co-investigator - whether salary, fieldwork, equipment or travel and subsistence - should be entered as 'Other Directly Incurred' and should be marked as an 'Exception' using the tick box. All costs should also be prefixed with 'Overseas:'.

Co-investigator 'time' allocation must be entered under the standard Co-I section, but the salary rate must be entered as zero.

All costs must be specifically justified, and applicants must explain why such costs are required to achieve the aims of the research project. Applicants must also state clearly in the 'Justification for Resources' attachment of the Je-S form which costs in the proposal relate to international research organisations. Please note that international co-investigator salary costs should only be claimed where clear justification is provided as to why this cost cannot be met by the international research organisation.

For additional detailed guidance on ESRC's International Co-Investigators policy, including costing guidance, please see: www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/inclusion-of-international-co-investigators-on-proposals/.

Objectives

List the objectives of your research in order of priority. (4000 character limit)

The objectives of the proposed project should be listed in order of priority and should be those that the Investigators would wish the Council to use as the basis for evaluation of work upon completion of any grant awarded.

Summary

Provide a plain English summary of the research you propose to carry out in a language that could be publicised to a general, non-academic audience. Please note that this section will be made available on the Gateway to Research database, therefore applicants should ensure confidential information is not included in this section (4000 character limit).

This section should include the following:

- The context of the research
- Its aims and objectives
- Its potential applications and benefits

Academic beneficiaries

Please summarise how your proposed research will contribute to knowledge, both within the UK and globally. This should include how the research will benefit other researchers in the field and identify whether there are any academic beneficiaries in other disciplines and, if so, how they will benefit and what will be done to ensure that they benefit.

Please list any academic beneficiaries from the research and give details of how they will benefit and how the results of the proposed research will be disseminated. Also describe the relevance of the research to beneficiaries. (4000 character limit)

Please note that this section may be published to demonstrate the impact of Research Council-funded research. Please ensure confidential information is not included in this section.

For further detailed guidance please access the helptext page linked to this Je-S section.

Staff duties

Summarise the duties of the staff members that will be involved in your project, including the applicants. Ensure that it is clear why it is necessary for this person to perform this role at the resource level you have requested. (2000 character limit)

Impact summary

Applicants are required to consider carefully how best to build links and contacts at the concept and development stage of the proposal with the potential beneficiaries and users to be involved within the grant and to work towards co-production of knowledge with research users where appropriate. It is vital that the economic and societal impact of all projects funded by ESRC is maximised.

Please address the following two questions (4000 character limit):

- **Who will benefit from this research?** List any beneficiaries from the research, for example those who are likely to be interested in or to benefit from the proposed research - both directly or indirectly. Beneficiaries must consist of a wider group than that of the investigators' immediate professional circle carrying out similar research.
- **How will they benefit from this research?** Describe the relevance of the research to these beneficiaries, identifying the potential for impacts arising from the proposed work.

Please note that this section may be published to demonstrate the potential impact of Research Council-funded research. Please ensure confidential information is not included in this section.

For further detailed guidance please access the helptext page linked to this Je-S section.

Ethical information

This section must be comprehensively addressed. (4000 character limit)

Applicants must ensure the proposed research will be carried out to a high ethical standard and must clearly state how any potential ethical and health and safety issues have been considered and will be addressed ensuring that all necessary ethical approval is in place before the project commences and all risks are minimised.

The ESRC's Framework for Research Ethics (www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/research-ethics/) contains a full explanation of our approach, with guidance for applicants.

Other support

Enter details of any support sought or received from any other source for this or other research in the same field in the past three years. Complete all fields for support either received or pending a decision. Contributions from project partners should not be entered here - they should be detailed in the project partners section.

Related/previous proposals

Please state whether your application under this call is related to any proposals previously submitted to us. The ESRC does not allow the resubmission of any previously unsuccessful proposal (including proposals previously submitted to another Research Council), unless explicitly invited to resubmit. Please see ESRC's policy on resubmissions at www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/resubmissions-policy/ on the ESRC website, including definitions of what constitutes a resubmission. Resubmissions must be accompanied by a covering letter (as an 'other' attachment) briefly explaining the reason for resubmission and the changes made to the proposal. You must detail the appropriate related proposal and its relationship here. Select 'Related Proposals', then 'Add New Related Proposal Item' before entering the details of any related proposals.

Please enter the reference numbers of any support sought or received from us in the past five years via the 'Previous proposals' section. Please note that this only relates to previous ESRC research grants.

Your proposal will not be considered if any reporting requirement on an ESRC grant held by any of the applicants is overdue. Some ESRC grants require formal progress reports. Where such a grant is held by one of the applicants an up-to-date report should be included with this proposal. Where any applicant holds a current ESRC grant but a formal report is not required, a progress report of a maximum of 1,000 words should be provided.

Staff

If your project requires staff other than the team of investigators, their details should be entered here. 'Directly Allocated staff' are those who will be working directly on the project, but whose involvement on the grant can only be based on an estimate of the time the work will take (eg investigators). 'Directly Incurred staff' are those whose time on the project is actual, auditable and verifiable (eg researchers and technicians).

ESRC allows the inclusion of non-UK based investigators and business, third sector or government body co-investigators on all of its grant funding schemes, and will fund 100 per cent of justified costs. Details of the Co-Investigator should be entered as usual via the Co-Investigator screen (please zero all cost fields), but all associated costs must be entered under the Other Directly Incurred heading and marked as exception to identify that these should be paid at 100 per cent.

New Investigator Grants do not allow for the inclusion of associated studentships.

Resources

This call is subject to the full Economic Cost (fEC) funding model. The call is for applications ranging from £100,000 to £300,000 (100 per cent fEC) for a period of up to five years. If successful, ESRC will meet 80 per cent of the full economic costs on proposals submitted and the host institution is expected to support the remaining 20 per cent.

An explanation of the cost headings can be found in the Je-S helptext under the Costings heading within Standard Proposals: <https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/pages/GuidanceonCompletingaStandardG/Costings.htm>.

All resources requested must be fully justified in the 'Justification of resources' attachment.

The following paragraphs provide guidance on how to enter different types of resource within the Je-S proposal, and any supporting documentation that may be required. For more detailed guidance see the relevant Je-S helptext.

T&S - add each item of travel and subsistence required for your project. This includes travel for both research activities and for those contributing to knowledge exchange and the delivery of the activities outlined in the Pathways to Impact. You should indicate the calculations upon which this figure is based in the 'Destination and Purpose' box. Please note that prior to travel overseas the grant holder must check with the Finance Office at the hosting Research Organisation to ensure that travel is permissible, and not against the advice of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (www.fco.gov.uk).

Equipment - enter any items of equipment costing £10,000 or more (including VAT) in this section. The actual level of funding sought from the ESRC should also be entered in this section.

For items of equipment costing between £10,000 and RCUK equipment purchase threshold value (£115,000), the research organisation will need to provide extra justification for these items in the 'Justification of Resources' attachment, providing evidence of an evaluation of the use of existing relevant capital assets. They will be expected to make a contribution towards the cost of the equipment in the order of 50 per cent of the cost.

All requests for items of equipment costing above the RCUK equipment purchase threshold (£115,000) should be accompanied by a two-page business case (to be included as an attachment within the equipment screen and not the attachments section) outlining the strategic need for the equipment. RCUK will decide the strategic location for these items and will potentially fund them at 100 per cent. The ESRC will have flexibility in relation to the funding of equipment to negotiate with potential grant recipients to achieve best value from the limited funds at its disposal.

For all items of equipment requested above the RCUK equipment purchase threshold (£115,000) three equipment quotations must be provided. Where you believe that there are less than three potential suppliers for an item you should explain this in the 'Justification of Resources' attachment and upload two blank documents as equipment quotes. For items of equipment which cost less than the RCUK equipment purchase threshold it is optional to provide quotations and up to three can be uploaded.

Social surveys - Social survey costs which are being sub-contracted should be included under this section and are eligible for fEC exception funding at 100 per cent (for the amount sought from the Council). (See 'other directly incurred costs' section if surveys are to be done using in-house resources.)

Other directly incurred costs - including justified; project specific consumables, consultancy fees, equipment costing less than £10,000, recruitment and advertising costs.

Costs for social survey work proposed to be done using in-house resources should also be included under this section and will be funded at the standard 80 per cent fEC funding rate. Proposals including such costs will need to fully justify why the work should not be subject to external competition, and provide benchmarking or other data to support a case that best value for money is being achieved through using research organisation staff rather than external contractors.

All project costs relating to UK business or civil society co-investigators must be prefixed as 'UK Co-I's business or civil society costs'. The co-investigator salary costs must be entered under 'Other Directly Incurred Costs' as should all other claimed staff salaries and related costs associated with the UK co-investigator's business or civil society body, and should be marked as an 'Exception' using the tick box.

All costs associated with international co-investigators, whether salary, fieldwork, equipment or travel and subsistence should be entered as 'Other Directly Incurred Costs' and should be marked as an 'Exception' using the tick box. All costs should also be prefixed with 'Overseas.'

All costs relating to UK business and/or civil society co-investigators and/or international co-investigators must be specifically justified, and applicants must explain why such costs are required to achieve the aims of the research project. Applicants must also state clearly in the 'Justification for Resources' attachment of the Je-S form which costs in the application relate to UK business, civil society or international research organisations. Please note UK business, civil society and international co-investigator salary costs should only be claimed where clear justification is provided as to why this cost cannot be met by the UK business, civil society or international research organisation.

Other directly allocated costs - including support staff salaries, a share of the costs of departmental support staff and the costs of access to major research facilities.

Estates and indirect costs

Estate and indirect costs are specific to each research organisation, and do not require justification in your case for support.

Research organisations that have implemented the TRAC costing methodology and have passed the Quality Assurance process should apply their own estate and indirect costs. Non-research organisations that are not required to implement TRAC must have a robust costing methodology in place that has been validated in order to apply their own estates and indirect cost rates. The standard default rates should be used where research organisations have not yet developed their own rates.

Your Research Office will be able to assist with this section.

Where an individual will be working away from the Research Organisation on long-term secondment for a period in excess of six months during the project, estates costs should not be charged for the period of secondment. No reduction should be made for shorter term absence.

Project partners

If you have secured a commitment from another organisation or funding body to provide additional resources for this project, the details of that support should be entered here. A letter of support from each partner organisation confirming the level of support specific to this proposal must be included as an attachment via this section.

Timetable

Provide a clear timetable for the project and the intended progress of the research through the different stages. This helps referees to assess the proposed approach, and facilitates monitoring. The stages are defined broadly and are not intended to impose any model of research. In some cases (eg theoretical work) not all stages will be applicable. 'Preparation and design work' refers to research instruments, work guidelines, samples etc., not to the overall design of the project, which should be completed before you complete the proposal. The timetable should demonstrate that the research has been properly planned and the time needed to complete it, including dissemination activities, has been carefully estimated.

Your planned timetable can be expanded upon if necessary within your case for support.

Data collection

Applicants must adhere to ESRC policy. Please refer to the full statement on data management planning and datasets deposition requirements for data intensive investments in the ESRC Research Data Policy (www.esrc.ac.uk/datapolicy) and in the Research Funding Guide (www.esrc.ac.uk/RFG). Explain clearly how you will meet these requirements if relevant to your proposal. Complement but do not duplicate the information provided in the Data Management Plan as explained below.

Reviewers (academic and user)

Nominate two academic and two non-academic/user reviewers. These people must not be from the same research organisation as any of the investigators on the project, and should not represent potential conflicts of interest. Agreement should be sought from nominated reviewers before their details are submitted. We may contact one of each 'type' of reviewer to assist in the peer review of your proposal.

Proposals will not be disadvantaged by the absence of nominated reviewers.

Classifications

Please identify whether the research will involve significant collaborative contributions from colleagues outside of the UK. If 'yes' please expand within the 'Case for Support' attachment.

User involvement

'Users' are individuals, groups or organisations who have an interest in or may benefit from the research. Users may be from the public sector, commercial private sector, civil society or the wider public in general. Engaging users in your research from its conception and development and throughout the research lifecycle is likely to increase the impacts achieved. Applicants should expand upon how the proposed work will be managed to engage users and beneficiaries in the pathways to impact attachment.

If 'Users' have been/will be engaged with your research project, please specify the nature of their engagement by selecting from the drop-down list:

- Design
- Execution
- Dissemination
- Training

There is also an option to record a 'not applicable' selection. It is a mandatory requirement that at least one option is selected.

Attachments

It is important that applicants **only submit the supporting attachments specified in this document**. We reserve the right to reject applications that do not include the required attachments or include attachments not specified in this guidance. It is recommended that attachments are uploaded in PDF (rather than Word) format, to ensure unsupported font type issues do not cause problems with maximum length requirements, please see Je-S guidance; <https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/pages/SystemRequirementsFormatsandSa/SystemRequirementsFormatsandSa.htm>

The following are mandatory Je-S attachments for this call:

- Case for support (six sides of A4 maximum)
- Justification of resources (two sides of A4 maximum)
- Pathways to Impact (two sides of A4 maximum)
- Data Management Plan (for grants planning to generate data, three sides of A4 maximum)
- CV(s) (two sides of A4 maximum for each named researcher)
- Mentor statement and CV (two sides of A4 maximum)

List of Publications, Letter of Support, Proposal Cover Letter, Facility form, Final/Interim Report and Other are optional attachments and should be included where necessary.

Important note: If you are unclear about whether you can include a specific attachment please contact esrcenquiries@esrc.ac.uk for advice, as unrequested attachments can hinder the processing of your application. ESRC reserves the right to return or reject applications that include attachments not permitted on this call.

Case for support (maximum of six sides of A4)

This is the body of your research proposal and must include, but is not limited to, the following sections:

Research project

- An introduction setting the aims and objectives of the study in context. This should briefly sketch the main work on which the research will draw, with references. Any relevant policy or practical background should also be included. A clear link must be made between the aims and objectives and the scope and theme of the call.
- The detailed research questions to be addressed should be clearly stated.
- Clearly state and describe both the framework and specific methods for analysis proposed, and explain the reasons for their choice. Particular care should be

taken to explain any innovation in the methodology or methods, or how different methodologies or methods may be combined.

- If the research involves data collection or acquisition you must demonstrate that you have carried out a datasets review, and explicitly state why currently available datasets are inadequate for the proposed research.
- The data, materials or information to be collected should be clearly stated, and the methods for achieving this explained. Where sampling is involved, the sampling frame, population and sample sizes, the sample design and arrangements for any pilot should be specified, and the reasons given for the procedures adopted. Where access to people or archives is needed, indicate clearly the records, population or samples to be consulted, and any permissions already obtained.
- ESRC is committed to funding excellent research which is also adventurous, speculative and innovative, and with the potential for scientific and/or user impact. Where there are risks associated with such research please outline any measures which will be taken to mitigate them.
- Indicate the expected outputs - both academic and those orientated to (potential) users (eg articles, papers, datasets, events). Where possible, describe the expected impact.
- Include details of any potential for linkages to other research activities (for example, those supported by other funding bodies in the UK or beyond) or for international collaboration.
- Include details of any skill development and capacity-building activities for both the PI and research staff on the grant.

Research organisation and mentor

- Applicants must clearly justify their choice of RO and mentor(s). Mentors should not normally be the applicant's former PhD supervisor.
- Applicants must also justify any proposed research visits to overseas institutions. Where such a trip is planned, a letter of support from the overseas host institution must be included with the proposal.

The bibliography for references cited in the proposal, including the Case for Support, must be attached under the 'list of publications' document. A list of the most relevant and recent publications of applicants should be included in the applicant CV.

Justification of resources (*maximum of two sides of A4*)

A two-side A4 statement justifying that the resources requested are appropriate to undertake the research project.

The justification of resources should explain why the resources requested are appropriate for the research proposed, taking into account the nature and complexity of the research proposal. It should not be simply a list of the resources required, as this is already given in the Je-S form. Where you do not provide explanation for an item that requires justification, it will be cut from any grant made.

Please refer to the joint Research Council Je-S <https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/pages/GuidanceonCompletingaStandardG/CaseforSupportandAttachments/ESRCspecificRequirements.htm> for further guidance.

Pathways to Impact (maximum of two sides of A4)

While the Impact Summary section of the Je-S form is for applicants to explain who will benefit from the research and how, the Pathways to Impact attachment should be used to explain what will be undertaken by the applicant to ensure that opportunities for users to benefit from your research, and thus for achieving economic and societal impact, are maximised.

The Pathways to Impact attachment should:

- be project-specific and not generalised
- be flexible and focus on potential outcomes

Researchers are encouraged to:

- identify and actively engage relevant users of research and stakeholders at appropriate stages
- articulate a clear understanding of the context and needs of users and consider ways for the proposed research to meet these needs or impact upon understandings of these needs
- outline the planning and management of associated activities including timing, personnel, skills, budget, deliverables and feasibility
- include evidence of any existing engagement with relevant users

The Pathways to Impact is your opportunity to describe how you plan to realise the potential impacts of your research. This attachment is specific to users and beneficiaries of the research who are outside of the academic research community including, for instance, the public sector, private sector, civil society or the wider public in general. User communities for ESRC research may include bodies and individuals from industry, charities, universities, local authorities and other public bodies, government departments and independent policy bodies.

Potential academic beneficiaries of your work and pathways towards achieving academic impact should not be detailed in this attachment but in the 'Academic Beneficiaries' section of the Je-S form and the 'Case for Support' as appropriate.

In describing plans to maximise impact, applicants should consider what is achievable and expected for research of this nature. If you do not feel that your research has potential to achieve impact outside of academia, you should use the Pathways to Impact attachment to explain why this is the case. However, it is expected that applicants will have considered impact in its broadest economic and societal terms before coming to this conclusion. Applicants should note that while we recognise the value of this type of research, reviewers may comment on the applicant's reasoning during consideration of the proposal.

Impact can take many forms, manifest at different stages in the research process, and be promoted in different ways. It may be helpful to consider impact in respect of the short term (during the currency of the grant), medium term (up to one year afterwards), and the long term. A statement about our expectations of the research we fund is at www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/impact-innovation-and-interdisciplinarity/.

Please detail how the proposed research project will be managed to engage users and beneficiaries and increase the likelihood of impacts. This can include interaction and engagement with 'intermediary organisations', eg media, information brokers, third sector organisations who are more closely linked with and understand the information needs of users and beneficiaries of your research.

When completing the attachment, please consider and address the following:

- Clear mapping of beneficiaries and target audiences
- Opportunities for collaboration
- Strategies for engagement with target audiences
- Methods for communication and engagement (including analysis of appropriate outputs to meet user needs and expectations)
- Monitoring and evaluation of knowledge exchange and impact activities.

You should also detail your track record in this area and the costs of these activities, which should be fully justified and reflected in the main costings section of the Je-S proposal and the Justification of Resources.

To be effective, all communication, engagement and impact activities must be planned in detail and properly resourced. Please detail how the legacy of proposed activity will be managed to engage beneficiaries and increase the likelihood of its impact in providing lasting value to participants, stakeholders and the wider social science community.

It is important to consider the potential impact of your research from the beginning of your grant. To help you with this, the ESRC have developed a step-by-step guide (www.esrc.ac.uk/research/impact-toolkit/developing-a-communications-and-impact-strategy/).

For further detailed guidance please access the relevant ESRC specific attachment Je-S helptext page.

Data Management Plan (*maximum of three sides of A4*)

It is a requirement of the ESRC Research Data Policy www.esrc.ac.uk/datapolicy that all applicants planning to generate data as part of their grant must include a Data Management Plan. The Data Management Plan should be used as an opportunity to describe how the data, ie primary input into research and first-order results of that research, are going to be managed - starting from planning for research and through the life-cycle of the grant until data is accepted for archiving by the UK Data Service.

The ESRC recognises the importance of research data quality and provenance. Research data generated by ESRC-funded research must be well-managed by the grant holder during the grant period to enable their data to be exploited to the maximum potential for further research. For further guidance see the Je-S Helptext.

CV (*maximum of two sides of A4 per applicant*)

A CV for each applicant and named research staff member must be included. This should include contact details, qualifications (including class and subject), academic and professional posts held since graduation, a list of the most relevant and recent publications, and a record of research funded by the ESRC and other bodies. This should not exceed two A4 sides.

It is essential that the PI's CV includes sufficient information for their eligibility for the scheme to be judged. Failure to do so may result in a delay in your proposal being processed or the proposal being rejected as ineligible.

The PI's CV **must** confirm:

- **the date on which you first submitted your PhD:** this should be the original date on which the PhD was first submitted, not the date on which your PhD was awarded, and must be provided in a DD-MM-YY format.
- **whether academic employment has been on a full- or part-time basis:** this will allow the office to check the applicant's eligibility.
- **career breaks** must be clearly articulated.
- where eligibility is based on the applicant having 'equivalent professional experience', the justification for this must be clearly stated.
- **whether the applicant is in a permanent post, and if so, whether their post is primarily research or teaching, or a mixture of both:** this will be used by ESRC for internal purposes, to monitor the status of applicants and to contribute to the further development of this scheme.
- where the applicant had research experience prior to completing their PhD they must include a statement clearly articulating how they meet the overarching aims of the scheme.

List of publications

The bibliography for references cited in the proposal only should be attached. Please note publications not cited in the proposal should not be added here. A list of the most relevant and recent publications by the applicant should be included in the applicant CV.

Final/interim reports (maximum of three sides of A4)

All current grant holders must submit a progress report on their current grants with any new application. Details should include the output records submitted by the applicant to the Researchfish system. The progress reports must not exceed three A4 sides per project. As part of this all current and past grant holders must ensure that the output records for current and past awards on Researchfish are up-to-date, as this information might be taken into account.

Proposal cover letter/letter of support (maximum of one side of A4)

If this proposal is an invited resubmission to the ESRC, the appropriate cover letter(s) must be included. A covering letter summarising the major revisions must accompany the proposal.

Where the proposal includes an extended visit to an overseas institution, a letter of support from the overseas host institution must be included with the proposal.

Exceptionally letters of support can be submitted as part of a proposal, provided they are essential to the successful conduct of the research (eg confirming access to datasets, or confirming access to or use of the facilities provided by named organisations). General letters of support that are not essential for the successful conduct of the research or do not confirm any specific contribution to the project should not be included.

Mentor's statement and CV (mandatory)

The mentor(s) should ideally have research experience in the same field as the applicant but should not, wherever possible, be the PhD supervisor. The mentor will normally be a senior colleague within the host RO. Reviewers and assessors will consider the appropriateness and demonstration of commitment of the proposed mentor(s) and will look for strong evidence of support. Thus the mentor statement should demonstrate that the mentor:

- has considered the individual applicant's needs carefully and tailored their programme of support to their individual needs, including supporting them in developing a proposal
- will ensure the applicant is kept active and focussed throughout their grant
- will also keep the applicant's long-term career prospects clearly in mind.

The mentoring time should be between one and three hours per week.

The combined statement and brief CV for the primary mentor needs to be added as attachment type 'Mentor Statement' and should not exceed two sides of A4.

Where there is more than one mentor, eg multi- or interdisciplinary research, and/or for overseas visits, the mentor statement should be completed by the primary mentor but must detail the contribution to be made by all mentors.

Brief CVs, of not more than one side of A4, should be attached for each of the other mentors.

Other attachments

Technical appendix

Technical and some limited non-technical information specific to the project may also be submitted as a separate attachment under 'other' attachment. Technical information includes: glossaries of technical terms, charts, diagrams and tables which help to clarify key points within the proposal, statistical formulae, and supporting material on methodological techniques (eg sampling methods, survey design). Non-technical information that may be included in other attachments includes bibliographic details, summary approaches to any ethical issues and letters of support that are essential to the successful conduct of the research (eg access to datasets, or organisations). Such attachments must not exceed six A4 sides in total. Copies of articles, working papers or general letters of support should not be included.

Proposal classifications

The information provided in this section will be used by us to identify appropriate peer reviewers. It would therefore assist us greatly if you could populate the Research Area/Qualifiers/Keyword sections to provide a comprehensive description of your area of expertise.

The Proposal classification area is a harmonised (and expanded) structure agreed with other Research Councils. Therefore, if your area of expertise crosses the remits of more than one Research Council you will now only need to provide the information once.

It is an essential requirement that your **primary research area** is in the social sciences. We strongly encourage inter/multidisciplinary working both within and beyond the social sciences, as long as at least 50 per cent of the proposed programme of research is within ESRC remit. Please refer to the list of research areas that fall within ESRC remit (www.esrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/is-my-research-suitable-for-esrc-funding/discipline-classifications/) for further information. Please note this information is used to determine eligibility for ESRC funding and to assist in the selection of appropriate reviewers.

Submit your proposal

After all mandatory sections have been completed, the submit button will appear across the top menu bar. Please ensure that you have **completed all attachments** that are not standard mandatory attachments for Je-S but are a **mandatory requirement to be completed for this specific call**. The submit button will route your finalised proposal to the authorising facility within your organisation (as explained in the Registration section above).

Tracking your proposal

There are additional document maintenance actions that can be undertaken via the Document actions button. For example, the 'Show submission path' will indicate to you where in the organisation submission chain the proposal currently is located (and who holds current responsibility) - eg owner, approval pool, submitter pool or submitted to Council.

Further enquiries

Enquiries relating to ESRC research funding rules and proposal procedures should be addressed to:

- Email: esrcenquiries@esrc.ac.uk

Enquiries relating to technical aspects of the Je-S form should be addressed to:

- Je-S helpdesk
Email: jeshelp@rcuk.ac.uk
Telephone: 01793 444164